# Performance Monitroing in the Development Review Process

NACTO 2013 Conference October 29, 2013

# **Overview**

- Problems in Development Review
- Assessment of LOS
- What do we want to see from an Applicant
- What DDOT has done to address needs
- What we want from developers
- Performance Monitoring framework



# **Problems in Development Review**

- Lack of thoroughness in reporting to the agency
- Inadequate and inconsistent review by the agency
- High levels of proposed vehicle parking
- Poor access management proposed
- Lack of adherence to standards
- No formal scoping process
- Applicant reports focused on vehicle LOS



## LOS is not a great measure for DDOT

- LOS does not generally address the feel of delay
- City streets are often at least somewhat congested
- Only focuses on certain intersections and does not address system concerns
- Does not take into account person thru-put
- It does indicate that there may be a problem



#### What we have done

- Initiated earlier contact with Applicants
- Focused on requested permitting or zoning action
- Scoping and analysis are sent to various programs within the agency
- Evaluate more thoroughly and more consistently
- Ask for performance monitoring

#### Results

- Process is much more consistent
- Allowed for more freedom to pursue items we want to see
- Still has not lead to minimization of potential impacts for all sites



## **Performance Monitoring**

- For sites where we think the land use may generate more trips than projected
- For sites that project more trips than should be accommodated
- Requested performance thresholds for vehicle trip generation
- Added requirements for non-adherance



# **Performance Monitoring Threshold**

- Typically based on Applicant projections of vehicle trip generation
- For sites with large amounts of parking this does not work
- For these sites we attempt to set a threshold for what would amount to zoning minimum for parking



# **Performance Monitoring Threshold**

- We also attempt to use other studies to inform our Development Review process
- Study showed that our network in the area would be minimally functional
- Determined the level of trip generation assumed at the site level
- This became the monitoring threshold



### **Performance Monitoring Reporting**

- Count vehicle trips to the site
- Should be within 10%
- Successful monitoring for two consecutive years
- If unsuccessful monitoring:
  - After year one, add additional TDM
  - After year two, perform a study and add more TDM
  - Persistent problems could necessitate infrastructure

#### **Additional Efforts**

- Research ongoing in:
  - Urban trip generation
  - Parking occupancy
  - Bikeshare demand